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Geophysical imaging of disrupted coastal dune stratigraphy and possible mechanisms, Haast, South Westland, New Zealand
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ABSTRACT

Geophysical imaging of coastal dune stratigraphy near Haast, South Westland, provides insight into coseismic dune modification on a seismically active coastline. Complementary ground-penetrating radar and electrical imaging responses reveal low-angle features that apparently truncate and offset dune bedding. Complex attribute analysis of the GPR profiles is consistent with truncated bedding. One feature is near-coastal and separates post-seismic dunes that have been attributed to the 1717 Alpine Fault and 1826 Fiordland earthquakes. Another is inland, coincident with an incised stream channel. Superficially, the truncations might be interpreted as erosional features caused by large storms; however, the truncating features penetrate and appear to disrupt the wave base. We therefore suggest the near-coastal truncation is either a translational feature, such as a slide, or more likely an erosional record of a tsunami generated by the 1826 Fiordland earthquake. The inland feature records a previous event, the cause of which needs further investigation.

Introduction

Tectonic forces are major drivers of landscape evolution and of range-front and coastal sedimentation in New Zealand and elsewhere, generating secondary fault ruptures, landslides, and tsunamis (e.g. Goff & McFadgen 2002; Wells & Goff 2006, 2007; Quigley et al. 2007). The coastal plains of the southwest South Island of New Zealand lie within a few tens of kilometres of the Australia–Pacific plate boundary which has ruptured along the Alpine Fault approximately every 329 years for over 8000 years (Berryman et al. 2012). Earthquakes at the southwestern end of the Alpine Fault, where it passes offshore, give rise to a tsunami hazard that may be difficult to identify and quantify, even for historic events (e.g. Goff et al. 2004). Tsunamis commonly contribute to a cascade of seismotectonic hazards along convergent plate boundaries (Atwater 1987; Goff & McFadgen 2002; Patton et al. 2009; Fritz et al. 2012) and may be an agent of substantial erosion, entraining nearshore sand, sometimes to well below wave base (Srinivasalu et al. 2007; Goff et al. 2009).

Major seismic events can also be responsible for rapid geomorphic evolution, due partly to sediment generation during co-seismic landsliding (Goff & McFadgen 2002; Wells & Goff 2006, 2007; Howarth et al. 2012; Robinson & Davies 2013). Post-seismic sedimentary response can be rapid, and in areas that experience intense runoff events the post-earthquake residence time of landslide sediment may be of the order of only a few years (Wang et al. 2015) to decades (Howarth et al. 2012). Together, the sedimentary response, landslide effects, and tsunami generation can drastically alter the landscape by cycles of erosion and accretion of sediment at beaches and other coastal depositional features (Goff et al. 2009). A number of studies have concluded that large Alpine Fault events result in large pulses of sediment (e.g. Berryman et al. 2012; Howarth et al. 2012; Clark et al. 2013) which are efficiently delivered to the catchments during large rainfall events (Fitzsimons et al. 2013). Coastal areas of these catchments record the large rainfall, landslide, and faulting events as pulses of sediments, redistributed by longshore transport and then by wave and wind action to form coastal dunes, are subsequently populated by trees and shrubs within decades of an event (Wells & Goff 2006, 2007).

Wells and Goff (2006) have used tree rings to relate shore-parallel dunes near Haast to major ruptures on the Alpine Fault. They related the youngest dune to the 1826 Fiordland earthquake, which presumably ruptured on the offshore Puysegur section of the Alpine Fault (e.g. Norris & Cooper 2001). Goff et al. (2004) indicate that the tsunami inundation may have extended from Dusky Sound in the south to at least Okarito Lagoon in the north (Figure 1), indicating...
that it may have caused coastal erosion along the Haast coast. While the record is far from certain, we will follow the time-line and dating outlined by Wells and Goff (2006) as a guide to the shore-parallel morphology.

Our initial purpose was to investigate the coastal dune stratigraphy and test whether the observed subsurface stratigraphy fits with the Wells and Goff model of dune formation. Most West Coast sediment pulses incorporate more magnetic sediments (Fitzsimons et al. 2013) which yield good reflections in ground penetrating radar (GPR) profiles (e.g. Meyers et al. 1996; Smith et al. 1999).

We report the results of our analysis of new GPR and complementary electrical imaging (EI) data from two sites in South Westland, near Haast. The radargrams reveal dune sequences building seawards on top of the interpreted wave-base platform and on previously deposited dune sequences. This is what we expected to see and was observed, for example, by Meyers et al. (1996), Smith et al. (1999) and Peterson et al. (2010). In some cases, these could be interpreted as storm event erosional features or retreat scarps (e.g. Meyers et al. 1996; Peterson et al. 2010); in others however, the truncating features extend to the wave base and at two sites appear to disrupt the wave base. Strong storms are not known to disturb or disrupt the wave base. One location was near the Haast River mouth where we observe truncated bedding on the face of the second-youngest coastal dune, and the other was inland, crossing an old access track and coincident with a steeply incised stream channel. The age constraints provided by the dune vegetation suggests that the disruption of the wave-base reflection, which superficially resembles low-angle faulting, is caused either by low-angle slope failure or by tsunami erosion of the dune face, possibly during the elusive 1826 tsunami.

**Site description and survey methodology**

The Alpine Fault is located less than 10 km to the southeast of the study sites (Figures 1, 2). A second large tectonic feature, the South Westland Fault Zone (SWFZ), lies a similar distance to the northwest of the study area, offshore of Haast (Figure 2). Its activity and recurrence are unknown, but it has been presumed to be inactive in recent times (e.g. Sutherland 1996; Sircombe & Kamp 1998; Rattenbury et al. 2010). The Alpine Fault generates coseismic landslides that provide pulses of sediments to the local catchments (e.g. Howarth et al. 2012; Clark et al. 2013). These sediments are then transported to the Tasman Sea (Figures 1–3) where they are distributed by longshore drift, accreted to the shore face, and form linear shore-parallel beach ridges in the decades immediately following a major Alpine Fault event (Wells & Goff 2006, 2007). By using tree-ring dating, each ridge has been attributed to an Alpine Fault event (Figure 3A).

We investigated two sites: one near the Haast River mouth in South Westland, New Zealand; and a second halfway between the mouths of the Haast River and Okuru River, along an old access track that starts next to the Haast landfill road entrance (Figures 2, 3). We used GPR and electrical imaging methods at the sites along the shorter shorewards line (Figure 3A, short line adjacent to the shore) and GPR alone for the longer profiles along the Haast Highway (Figure 3A) and the Haast landfill road (Figure 3B). The shorewards profile extended northwest towards the sea from the highway, whereas the road profiles extended inland to the south and southeast. Other profiles are not presented here because they were either dominated by
recent river erosion and deposition, or were adversely affected by seawater along the shore.

**GPR**

Ground penetrating radar (GPR) has become a widely used tool in subsurface imaging. The reader is referred to Davis and Annan (1989) and Milsom and Eriksen (2011) for descriptions of how GPR works.

The GPR data were gathered using a Sensors & Software pulse EKKO 100A system, equipped with 50 and 100 MHz antennas. The 50 MHz profiles were acquired by stepping the antennas along the profile at 0.5 m intervals. This was close to the lateral sampling resolution of the antennas, which is equal to the optimal trace spacing. The 100 MHz GPR profiles were acquired with the antennas mounted on a sled which was towed slowly; regularly spaced fiducial markers placed along the line to check the speed allowed for later interpolation to a regular trace spacing. The 100 MHz profiles were generally slightly oversampled, which yields good continuity of reflections and of any subsurface diffractions. Both sets of antennas were used at the Haast River mouth (HRM) site. The depth of penetration of the 100 MHz signal is almost as good as for the 50 MHz signal and the resolution is better, so only the 100 MHz antennas were used for the Haast Highway and Haast landfill road profiles.

In addition to the standard common offset profiles, common mid-point/wide-angle reflection and refraction (CMP/WARR) profiles (Hatton et al. 1986; Davis & Annan 1989) were acquired at the HRM site (Figures 3A, 4A). Reflection hyperbolas are generated in the CMP profiles as the source and receiver antennas are separated in a normal move-out step-wise fashion (Figure 4A). Semblance analysis of the CMP hyperbolas (Hatton et al. 1986) allows us to construct a velocity stratigraphy (Figure 4B). The velocities obtained are consistent with partly saturated to saturated sand and...
silt, decreasing from c. 0.09 m ns\(^{-1}\) (90 m \(\mu s\)\(^{-1}\)) near the surface to c. 0.07 m ns\(^{-1}\) (70 m \(\mu s\)\(^{-1}\)) at depth. A velocity of 0.07 m ns\(^{-1}\) was therefore used for processing the HRM profiles. The few diffractions due to subsurface scattering features present in the Haast profiles are consistent with the semblance analysis velocities. The weather in the days immediately preceding the acquisition of the Haast shore profiles was very wet with widespread flooding; dominance of the water content on the GPR response can affect the GPR velocity. The CMP profiles were gathered on the first dry day.

No CMP/WARR profiles could be gathered at the Haast landfill site because of time and spatial constraints. There were diffractions present however, and the velocities obtained were of the order of 0.1 m ns\(^{-1}\) (100 m \(\mu s\)\(^{-1}\)), a value more consistent with partly saturated sand. The difference between the Haast shore and Haast landfill road velocities may be due, in part, to the sunny warm weather in the days preceding the acquisition of the Haast landfill profile.

The profiles were further processed using complex attribute analysis (see Kanaswisch 1981; Hatton et al. 1986). Each profile is composed of a set of real numbers – traces – that are a record of the antenna voltage as a function of time, \(V(t)\). If we take the Hilbert transform of each trace, \(H(V(t))\), we can create a complex number:

\[
z(t) = V(t) + iH(V(t)) = x + iy,
\]

where \(i = \sqrt{-1}\),

which will then have the usual complex attributes of instantaneous amplitude (also called the envelope):

\[
|z(t)| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2},
\]

instantaneous phase:

\[
\phi(t) = \tan^{-1}(H(V(t))/V(t)) = \tan^{-1}(y/x),
\]

and instantaneous frequency,

\[
f(t) = (d\phi/dt)/2\pi.
\]

The envelope, or instantaneous amplitude, reflects changes in reflection strength, and is often associated with changes in lithology and sequence boundaries (Taner et al. 1979; Taner 2001). The instantaneous amplitude may therefore be associated with depositional environment changes. Because of reflection strength variations, the instantaneous amplitude may also change at discontinuities such as faults. The instantaneous phase is useful for testing the continuity or connectedness of what are apparently continuous reflections (e.g. Yetton & Nobes 1998). Reflections from bedded sediments can often appear continuous and connected even if they are actually offset in the presence of faulting, particularly if no rotation occurs across the fault. The instantaneous phase helps to identify discontinuities in bedding across, for example, faults and unconformities. The instantaneous frequency is often used as an indicator of textural changes (e.g. Francké & Nobes 2000). As such, it has less of a role here because the textures of dune sediments are similar on both sides of any faults or storm scarps.

**Figure 5.** A, The measured apparent electrical resistivity for the HRM EI profile has a good ‘best fit’ model response that yields a misfit of only 1.3%. B, The ‘best-fitting’ model including topography has a high-resistivity layer on the top, corresponding to the sand dunes on the surface, and lower-resistivity layers and features at depth below the water table. VE, vertical exaggeration.
**Electrical imaging**

Electrical imaging (EI) profiles were acquired using a Campus Tigre system with 128 electrodes, deployed at 1 m spacing in a simple Wenner array geometry (e.g. Milsom & Eriksen 2011). The EI profiles were gathered across the youngest coastal dunes near the Haast River mouth (at the shore in Figure 3A). The HRM profile began on the back of the dune that is attributed to the 1717 Alpine Fault earthquake (Figure 3A) and extended 127 m, finishing at the edge of the high tide mark on the beach just north of the HRM.

The data were modelled using the inversion algorithm developed by Loke and Barker (1996) and implemented in the Res2DInv computer modelling and inversion programme. The measured EI response is iteratively modelled until the model converges to a level of ‘misfit’ that is unchanging; the ‘misfit’ is the difference between the measured and model responses, expressed as a root-mean squared relative error in percent. Each profile therefore yielded a ‘best fit’ model that minimised the misfit between the observed apparent resistivities and the model response. Features will be more reliable in the interior of a model than at its edges, because there is less data coverage at the base and at either end of the profile. The models were run with and without topography. The topographically corrected models were then interpreted jointly with the GPR results.

**Figure 5** provides an example of a good fit between the measured (Figure 5A) and the modelled responses (Figure 5B) for the HRM EI, with a misfit of only 1.3%. The model which includes topography (Figure 5C) clearly shows the resistive surface layers, especially the highly resistive dunes, and the contrasting more conductive subsurface layers. The electrical images did not extend far enough out onto the tidal shore to record the influence of sea water at depth, but clearly show the contrast between the dunes on the surface above the water table and the deeper strata.

**Results**

We now consider the profiles moving from north to south, starting with the Haast Highway profile. All profiles have been migrated and corrected for topography. Examples of the sorts of features we expected to see are illustrated in a portion of the Haast Highway GPR profile. The features of interest are difficult to see in the entire profile, so only a small portion is shown here as an example (Figure 6). We observe the GPR reflections from a dune sequence onlapping the seawards slope of a previous dune sequence. The wave base can just be seen at the far left of Figure 6A, at about 350–400 ns two-way travel-time (TWT), or about 12 m below sea level at this location. A possible storm beach can be seen at the top of the dune sequence, at about 400 m along the Haast Highway profile. At about 560 m along the profile, there appear to be truncations of the bedding (arrows in Figure 6), but the truncating feature does not reach the wave base. We interpret this as either a storm beach or possibly a slump feature, given the hummocky character of the material at depth seawards of the arrows.

In contrast, a truncating feature visible across the middle of the Haast shore profiles (Figure 7, highlighted by the dashed line and the arrows) truncates or cross-cuts not only the bedding but also appears to disrupt the wave base at about 300–350 ns TWT or about 8–10 m below current sea level at this location. This would not occur if the linear feature were merely a wave or storm scarp. The slope of the wave base is disrupted and appears to tilt shorewards at a location that coincides with the truncating feature.
The truncating feature is clearest in the 50 MHz profile (Figure 7B). The difference in response is further emphasised by the envelope (Figure 8) and the instantaneous phase (Figure 9), which makes the offsets clearer in the 100 MHz profile (Figures 8A, 9A). It does not appear to be a slump feature, because we do not see hummocky reflections that are characteristic of slumps. The truncating feature is only just visible in the instantaneous frequency profile (Figure 10), which illustrates that the textures across the truncating feature are similar, and the response is dominated by the sandy lithology.

The clear evidence for a truncating and potentially offsetting feature in the GPR data is enhanced by comparing the GPR and EI results (Figure 11), which complement and support each other. The higher resistivity feature (brighter colour) at depth in the EI profiles is truncated by the dipping GPR reflector. Using the migrated and topographically corrected GPR profiles, we estimate the dip of the truncating feature to be about 12–20° to the northwest. The slope looks much steeper in the GPR profiles due to the vertical exaggeration. The mutual agreement of the two datasets then gives us more confidence in each of the individual datasets.

The Haast landfill road (HLR) profile shows both dune-like features in the near surface (Figure 12A) and indications of massive bedding (i.e. lack of reflections, cf. Nobes et al. 2001) both in the near surface (between about 480 and 540 m along the profile) and at depth (at about 120 m along the profile). Closer examination of the HLR profile on either side of the stream channel (Figure 12B) reveals apparent truncations of beds to the northwest (left) of the stream channel. As for the HRM profile, the truncating feature appears to be dipping to the northwest.

Complex attribute analysis of the HLR profile (Figure 13) clarifies the truncating feature, especially in the instantaneous amplitude (Figure 13A), while the lack of continuity of the bed reflections is clearer in the instantaneous phase (Figure 13B). The instantaneous amplitude (Figure 13A) also reveals the presence of another, oppositely dipping, feature to the southeast (right) of the stream channel. The northwest-dipping feature extends to depth and may offset the wave base, although the reflection energy at that depth is not sufficient to be clear. The southeast-dipping feature does not appear to extend much beyond about 4 m in depth but it has significant envelope energy and separates two zones: one with little or no envelope response and another with significant...
envelope response. Both of the significant dipping features that are clear in the instantaneous amplitude come to the surface at the stream channel.

Discussion

We observe several clear truncations of beds in the geophysical imaging profiles. Bending-moment normal faults can be present in this tectonic context. However, the dips of the truncating features are less than 20°, and are therefore unlikely to be normal faults. The exact angle of a normal fault depends on the friction angle of the material. Dry sand has a friction angle of approximately 30°, so the normal faulting should occur at about 30° to the (vertical) maximum compressive stress. This suggests that a normal fault in sand should be dipping at c. 60°.

Lower-angle failure planes generally require pre-existing structures, high pore pressures, or both. For instance, sliding can occur on low-angle failure planes due to high pore pressures (e.g. Hubbert & Rubey 1959). The anomalous feature could therefore be a lateral-spread feature, with sliding towards a free face on a low-angle slip surface due to co-seismically elevated pore pressures. The shoreline along the South Westland coast drops off steeply, which provides a setting that would be amenable to a slump or a rotational slide. In either case, the event that caused the displacement could be coincident with the earthquake that built the seawards 1826 dune. The beds on either side of the truncating features are difficult to correlate, suggesting that the features are not slip-planes that are offsetting the dune and nearshore stratigraphy. However, difficulty in correlating beds is not the same as a complete lack of correlation. We would also expect to see an indication of a hummocky reflection profile above the wave base if the bed truncations are due to a slip, as we noted in Figure 6 for the Haast Highway profile. The response at depth is not clear enough to distinguish if the beds are hummocky or not. We therefore consider a failure surface to be a possible explanation for these features.

We return then to the 1826 earthquake and the report of a tsunami associated with that event (Goff et al. 2004). The dipping feature in the HRM profile truncates beds that are part of the 1717 dune (Figure 14), which in 1826 would have been the most seawards dune. A tsunami cutface would, in one sense, be an extreme case of a storm-cut beach. As noted earlier, storm-cut beaches are not known to disrupt or displace.
the wave base, but tsunamis have done so. The timing would fit what we observe: the feature truncates the beds of the dune associated with the 1717 Alpine Fault event; the beds on either side do not align, but appear to be independently deposited; the seaward beds build up on the base; and subsequently a coastal dune forms that has been attributed to the 1826 event (Wells & Goff 2007).

The HRM profiles can therefore be interpreted as illustrated in Figure 14. The 1717 dune and foreslope deposits (labelled A in Figure 14) were eroded and truncated by a tsunami generated by the 1826 Fiordland event, or were truncated by a co-seismic low-angle failure. The tsunami would hit the 1717 shoreline, causing erosion. Some of the material eroded from A was deposited soon after at the base of the slope (B in Figure 14). There would have been no dune in front of the 1717 dune when the presumed tsunami occurred. Sediments transported alongshore during the aftermath of the 1826 event would be deposited, prograding outwards. The advancing dune would be created at the maximum pulse of sediment and then the swale behind was infilled as or after the foredune had aggraded and became the ‘new’ shoreline. The unit Bi (Figure 14) represents beds that would have formed as part of the deposition of the new foredune and as deposits infilling the swale that formed behind the new coastal dune. Most of this sediment would have been shoreface deposits filling the ‘void’ that remained after the tsunami erosion. Continuing cycles of sediment accumulation (C, D, and E in Figure 14) built up the coastal dune deposits, culminating in the foreslope shore accretion (F in Figure 14). Finally, plants became established on the seawards dune (Wells & Goff 2007).

The other similar feature that we observed along the inland HLR profile is suggestive of a similar process, but associated with an earlier event. The deposits lying seawards of the stream cut are truncated by a feature similar to that observed in the HRM profiles. However, the presence of an additional shallowly dipping feature landwards of the stream cut presents the possibility of another tectonic feature controlling the landscape and processes at this site. Given the position of the stream cut the event predates 1717 and possibly 1615, based on the dating of Wells and Goff (2006, 2007). The site is accessible, albeit from a rough track through West Coast temperate rain forest, and future work could determine the exact nature and timing of the event that gave rise to the truncating feature.
Conclusions

Geophysical imaging of the beach ridges near the mouth of the Haast River reveals the presence of a linear feature that appears to truncate the bedding in the nearshore dunes and to disrupt the wave base, which we would not expect if it were simply a storm scarp. A similar feature is observed to truncate beds along an old access road adjacent to the Haast landfill road, and there is an incised stream channel where this feature comes to surface. The dips are too shallow and the field relationships indicate that the features are too young to be normal faults, so we suggest that the truncations are likely due to erosion either by a shallowly dipping slip triggered by an event on the nearby Alpine Fault or by a tsunami associated with the 1826 Fiordland earthquake. Given how close the structures come to the surface, it may be possible to test our hypotheses by trenching or other similar means.
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